Q

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BANGALORE
DATED THIS THE 18TH DAY OF JUNE 1998
BEFORE

THE HON'BLE ER. JUSTICE G.C.BHARUKA WRIT PETITION NO: 16541-48/1996

BETWEEN:

- Elizabeth, major,
 D/o Pundalik,
 Head Mistress,
- Maruthi s/o Rayappa,
 major,
 Asst. Teacher.
- Jo Narsinga Rao,
 major,
 Asst Teacher.
- 4. Manjula,
 D/o Yesudas,
 major,
 Asst. Teacher.
- Christina Sureka Rani,
 d/o Johnson,
 major, Asst. Teacher.
- 6. Nirmala,
 D/o Amruthappa,
 major, Asst. Teacher.
- Anil Kumar,
 s/o Sharanappa,
 major, Asst. Teacher.

W.P.16541-16548/96

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and Mrs.

V. Vidya, learned H.C.G.P. for the respondents

- 2. The petitioners employees are of Private Educational institution. The relief claimed by them the present writ petition pertains to their employment. Division Bench of this Court in W.A. No. 1833 to 1836/95 and connected matters (DD 30.05.1998) has held that even if the private educational institution has been admitted grant-in-aid under the provisions of the Grant-in-Aid Code by the State government, still no relationship of master and servant between the government and the persons like the petitioners into being and therefore, comes petitions filed by such employees are not maintainable under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, since they have effective, efficacious and alternative statutory remedies available under the Karnataka Education Act, 1983 (in short 'the Act').
- 3. In paragraph 12 of the judgment it has been held that.-

"As regards the locus standi of staff working the aforesaid educational institutions to maintain the writ petitions, they have got the remedy appeal under Section 94 of the Education against an order of dismissal or removal service or reduction in rank. Under Section 130. appeal is provided against any order passed by an officer or authority under the Act. Section 131 provides for revision by Government either suo moto or on an application from any person interested. Section 132 of the Education Act provides for

LM

review by the State Government either suo moto or an application received from any person interested. The Government is also vested with the power to give direction under Section 133 Act to enquiry make or take appropriate proceeding by the Commissioner or Director or officer not below the rank District of Educational Officer and to submit report. the Education Act provides for appeal, revision and review respect of any order and in the absence of any order, the Government can be moved issuing necessary direction in exercise of its power under Section 133 and the staff can avail the said remedies. Even with regard to payment what is stated above with regard to the teaching and non-teaching staff applies the staff also. Without exhausting such alternative remedy, they cannot be permitted to invoke extraordinary of this jurisdiction Court Article 226 of the Constitution.

the aforesaid reasons the writ petitions are dismissed on the ground of availability of efficacious. effective alternative remedy. However, all the rights and contentions of the parties on merits are kept open for being agitated before the appropriate statutory authorities. If so advised, the petitioners may avail their statutory remedy by way of filing appeal / revision / application as case may under the Act within SIX WEEKS from today and in the event of filing of the same, the concerned authorities will dispose of such appeal / revision / application within THREE months from the date filing thereof. If any such remedy has already been availed, then same should be disposed of within THREE the months from the date of communication of this order.



Sd/-JUDGE

jsk/-